

Other survey: "Don - All"

taber

②

McN:

"was only for ^{typical} det
was ^{typical} as benefit
too much / street area

Book for general reader:

"we continue to live under a sword of Damocles
hope that" We're brief with to long - can do it better
don't has needed...

members to come - will soon be used

refers presentation available (sic)

leaves product

"don't this joint history?" (Full text to me on PP)

(Mod logic ...)

Answer: - Don't thousands, from 30,000, don't work the
difficulties

- Can't think

- New states (delegation ...)

- "Revent" paper alone;

RBE Climate change catastrophe are more likely
in next 20 years.

7-4212

Peiterson

Dallas

Admission: / on C-II

Memorial: Memorial Estimation (Altman, 1960)

On back: 7-8-12

I should not address person "Why the are
and more?" to understand its better, more comprehending —
as I did person "What are the politics of
N + LSV + VFR in VN? (what is it...?)
is with Secrets.

Fix on a double, limited time, based on
the Secrets & Journal (what origins, plans, actions,
operation) — and what that says for now.

NUCLEAR PRIOR
Wilson Primer RAND

role of nucs:

"Type I," Type II Type III

A, TN fall-out fire smoke

(aim: of dls

Lower control vs. WH, accident
— over attack

and necessary to the credibility of nuc attack
on ~~us~~ have a NUS

7-10-12

RBE

Not a book on C-II

A significant part of a longer story of
how fantastically degrees []

the auctioneer can do —

from my eye-witness experience —

with agents that those degrees continue to
be relevant.

risk: price, value

[How small a price should be "acceptable"

Is the real price of that (hypothetical, unrealistic)
nature?

Is it unusually profitable? "Unrealistic?"
(Was it even, really?)

What do we need to know about C-II?

— Basic description. (My experience: comfortable.)

Story/Kissers

Jan 13 A.1-

Hook

Remember & lay out "parameters": "Both leaders
wanted to avoid..."

(VFR was bluffing, and K! (McGB Nelson on
this))

||

But both, even-extended ability to control

— working with complete knowledge, & manipulation

— willing to accept some ("low") risk

NOT: in 6-day narrative part: I had a role.

FR: conventional strategy Schles, Bor, Alul, RFK

BUT: not known:

= Plans v. Cuba

1964 Study: SAM, AA
ult.

(NOT: Mergon,

(NOT Borein?)

[Take end of war with Japan — Pres was willing
before the Bore to consider war part — (Expensive)
but waited until after Bore
(VRR: after blockade...)

What kind of know, and when did I know it,
that — given me a different understanding of crime?

What of that (+ others: known unknown) is
still unknown today: by scholars
by public (officials?)
or, insufficiently integrated (data not connected)?